Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/710,672 Docket No.:13039-US-PA

## **REMARKS**

The Office Action indicated that the Title is not descriptive and claim 1 is objected to for some informalities. The Office Action further rejected claims 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lin et al. (U.S. 6,734,055). The Office Action further rejected claims 1-2, 5-6 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kang (U.S.2004/0119109). The Office Action further rejected claims 4 and7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang (U.S.2004/0119109) in view of Liang et al. (U.S. 5,714,412). The Office Action further rejected claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang (U.S.2004/0119109) in view of Lin et al. (U.S. 6,734,055). The Office Action further rejected claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang (U.S.2004/0119109) in view of Hashimoto (U.S. 2003/0235951). The Office Action further rejected claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (U.S. 6,734,055) in view of Liang et al. (U.S. 5,714,412). The Office Action further rejected claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (U.S. 6,734,055) in view of Hashimoto (U.S. 2003/0235951). The Office Action further indicated that claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response thereto, Applicants have amend Title to "METHOD OF MANUFACTURING A DUAL BIT FLASH MEMORY", which is more descriptive and

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10/710,672 Docket No.:13039-US-PA

clearly indicative of the invention to which the remaining claims are directed.

Applicants have further cancelled claims 1, 2, 4-10, which render rejections addressed thereto moot. Applicants have further introduced the limitations of allowable claim 17 into claim 11, which render the rejections addressed to claims 11-16 moot.

In the aforementioned amendment, a terminology "dual bit" is used to be clearly indicative of the invention and is equal to "one cell two bit" in the endeavor field of the invention.

After entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 11-16 remain pending in the present application, and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: Oct. 12, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: <u>belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>
Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw